

Louisiana State Board of Examiners of Psychologists
BOARD MEETING MINUTES
Thursday, January 28, 2021 and
Friday, January 29, 2021

FINAL APPROVED: 2/19/2021

The meeting of the Louisiana State Board of Examiners of Psychologists (Board or LSBEP) was noticed, agenda certified, and signed by LSBEP Chairperson Amy Henke, posted, emailed to individuals and the news media who have requested to receive notices of LSBEP meetings, and widely distributed to every known news media outlet that broadcasts or publishes news within Louisiana through the Louisiana Press Association on Tuesday, January 26, 2021. The notice included information on how to join the meeting virtually via Zoom <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/84112383863?pwd=Y3h6a0RlIamFwcmRDNjJRdkIwcmRDdz09>

Meeting ID: 841 1238 3863 **Passcode:** 1mhp8P **One tap mobile:**
+13126266799,,84112383863#,,,,,0#,,442710# US (Chicago) +19292056099,
84112383863#,,,,,0#,,442710# US (New York) **Dial by your location**+1 312 626 6799 US (Chicago), +1
929 205 6099 US (New York), +1 301 715 8592 US (Germantown), +1 346 248 7799 US (Houston), +1
669 900 6833 US (San Jose), +1 253 215 8782 US (Tacoma) **Meeting ID:** 841 1238 3863 **Passcode:**
442710 **Find your local number:** <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/84112383863?pwd=Y3h6a0RlIamFwcmRDNjJRdkIwcmRDdz09>

Board Chairperson, Dr. Amy Henke, called the video conference meeting to order at 8:32 a.m. on Thursday, January 28, 2021.

Attendance:

Board Members Present: Dr. Amy Henke, Dr. Gregory Gormanous, Dr. Michelle Moore, Dr. Gina Gibson, Mr., Dr. Shannae Harness, and Darren “Chance” McNeely. Board Members Absent: 0
Staff Present: Executive Director, Jaime T. Monic; Compliance Officer, Justin Owens.

Invited Guests Present:

Dr. Joseph Comaty, Former Board Member and LAMP’s Liaison to LSBEP
Dr. Koren Boggs, Former Board Member
Dr. Lucinda DeGrange, Former LSSP Committee Member
LPA Representative(s): Dr. Erin Reuther, Dr. Kim VanGeffen, Dr. Richard Niolon, Dr. Matt Holcomb,
Dr. David Thompson, LAMP President

Other meeting attendees:

Dr. Julie Nelson (Psychology Times)
Dr. Amanda Raines

Dr. Henke read the mission statement as follows, “It is hereby declared that the creation of a state board of examiners of psychologists is necessary in order to safeguard life, health, property, and the public welfare of this state, and in order to protect the people of this state against unauthorized, unqualified, and improper application of psychology.”

Dr. Gormanous requested that his statement of service be recorded into the minutes as follows, “My serving on LSBEP is to ensure that consumers of psychological services are protected against any unqualified, harmful and unauthorized practice, application or use of psychology. My service is as a consumer advocate and educator. My service is not as a market participant.”

REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF AGENDA: Dr. Gormanous moved to approve the agenda with an amendment to add an application file review for Dr. John Meeter. The motion passed by roll call vote as follows: In favor: (6) Henke, Gormanous, Gibson, Moore, Harness, McNeely; Against: (0); Abstained: (0); Absent: (0)

CHAIR’S REPORT: Dr. Henke provided an overview of the Long Range Planning Meeting structure and objectives. She provided updates on LSBEP’s budget, Rulemaking for Chapter 6, Jurisprudence Examination initiatives, the EPPP Part 2 and her attendance at ASPPB’s Board Chair Meetings and Master’s Level Licenses.

ELECTION RESULTS: Dr. Henke opened and reported to LPA and publicly the results of the 2020 election for the upcoming vacancy occurring July 1, 2021. Results were reported as follows: 290 Licensees participated in this election representing 36.2% of 801 Licensees. Thomandra Shavaun Sam, Ph.D. received the majority vote at 105 (38.6%), Lauren Woodruff Rasmussen, Psy.D., 103 (37.9%), Leslie A. O’Malley, Psy.D. 64 (23.5%) and 18 (6.2%) Abstained. Dr. Henke noted that the turn-out of electors was the highest participation the Board has seen in several years.

DISCUSSION/ACTION ITEMS

VI. Discussion/Ideas:

- a. Specialty designation vs. HSP/General Applied Psychology LAC:46:LXIII – Chapters 3, 7 and 17 et al - Dr. Henke provided an overview that the Board is still researching and discussing the idea of issuing designations of Health Service Provider or General Applied Psychology and eliminating of specialty designations.
- b. Military Licensing - LAC:46:LXIII. Chapter 10 (R.S. 37:3651) – Ms. Monic presented legislation that was recently amended related to expedited military applications, which expanded the provision to include active military duty spouses and dependents. Ms. Monic advised that consideration of impacts and potential revisions to Chapter 10 will need to be assessed.

1) Discussion Statutory:

- a) Receive, review and discuss recommendations from the Ad Hoc Legislative Collaborative Committee (ALCC) related to proposed SB458 (2020 Regular Legislative Session) – Dr. Henke presented via screen sharing, a review of changes recommended by LSBEP’s General Counsel, Amy Groves Lowe, following review of the proposed housekeeping legislation with ALCC recommendations.
- b) Considerations for adopting recommendations from the ALCC and proceeding with legislative initiatives – Dr. Henke summarized the time and efforts of the ALCC and the recommendations coming out of the committee. These efforts included more than a year’s worth of collaborative meetings with leadership from LSPA and LPA. Prior to the board’s consideration of adopting the changes additional recommendations and questions were received from the Louisiana Psychological Association in an email dated January 25, 2021 as follows:

LPA had two points of “Conceptual concerns and questions:”

“1)Concern regarding use of term “assistant to psychologist.” LPA is concerned that this may imply a higher level of independence and clinical decision making than they do as defined in the statute. Concern that the public may think that assistants to psychologists hold a similar rank to physician’s assistants who do engage in clinical decision making and independent practice. Use of the term assistant is confusing to both the public and the psychologist community. Please consider

use of the term “technician” (similar to a radiological technician) or another term that is widely accepted as participating in provision of services with no decision-making abilities.”

“2)Concern regarding LSBEP’s intent to regulate clinical research. It is unclear what types of research would need to fall under LSBEP’s regulation. It is unclear what types of research activities one would need to be licensed to be a PI on, be licensed to perform, or be registered with the board to perform. Concern that this additional provision of requiring both LSBEP regulation and IRB regulation and approval would hinder psychological research in the state. For example, would it be necessary for LSBEP to regulate 1) RCTs in which there is an intervention that is not yet evidence-based, 2) research that uses an anxiety questionnaire but does not provide diagnosis or feedback, 3) research in which participants with pre-existing diagnosis are recruited and are studied but not given an intervention, feedback, or recommendations. This is a step away from what LSBEP and other state psychology boards have handled research in the past. What would LSBEP’s precedent for starting to do this?”

And

LPA provided the following “Clerical feedback:”

- *“Pg 5, line 13 – still says [matters covered by other professionals]. These need to be spelled out.*
- *Pg 8, line 26 – problem with parrellism. Should read “assist with compliant investigations...”, not “assists with...”*
- *Pg 9, line 4 – “restrict” is included twice. Remove one of these.*
- *Pg. 9, line 22 – there is an incomplete sentence. Sentence should be finished with “may be issued.” Or similar language.”*

The Board discussed these matters with the LPA representatives present at the meeting. After consideration of the request to replace terminology “assistant to psychologist” with “psychological technician” the Board agreed to adopt this recommendation following legal review and consideration for potential unintended consequences.

The Board discussed LPA’s concern regarding “intent to regulate clinical research”. The Board invited Dr. Amanda Raines to address the Board with her concerns as an individual who previously conducted research as part of her training. Board members expressed their intent was to clarify that the exemption under 37:2365.F. is an exemption that allows unlicensed university or college faculty holding an earned doctoral degree in psychology from a regionally accredited institution of higher education to use the title only of “psychologist” and in no way authorizes such individual to engage in activities defined as the practice of psychology. Members expressed concern over this misconception, noting that research and studies involving psychological evaluation and treatment studies and/or experimentation on individuals are the practice of psychology and require licensure. It was suggested that Institutional Review Boards regulate such activities, however Dr. Joseph Comaty provided input on this discussion as the Chair of the La. Dept. of Health Institutional Review Board (IRB), that the IRB does not regulate or set standards for the practice of psychology or medicine when approving the IRB. The LDH requires an IRB for activities operated or funded by LDH. Should a researcher be conducting research in a regulated profession, that individual would be subject to the law, rule and ethical guidelines of that profession. Further, should that individual act unethically, that would subject that researcher to discipline by the licensing board regulating the practice. Dr. Comaty noted for example that an IRB would not allow medical research to be conducted by unlicensed individuals. In consideration of the above, no additional amendments were considered regarding the Board’s intent to clarify this language.

The Board accepted and thanked LPA for the clerical feedback.

The Board invited public comment. Dr. Julie Nelson, who was there representing the Psychology Times, then switched roles to comment as an individual psychologist said that she felt the legislation was a bad idea and would oppose the legislation. No specific suggestions for changes were stated.

Following extensive review, discussion and consideration of recommendations from the ALCC, the Board's General Counsel and LPA, Dr. Gormanous moved in favor of filing the bill and if the motion passes, immediately asking select legislators to sponsor the bill in this legislative session and upon finding a sponsor, move forward with the revisions to proposed SB458 originally introduced in the 2020 Regular Legislative Session, that were proposed by the ALCC, the Board's General Counsel and LPA. The Board discussed this motion. The motion passed by unanimous roll call vote as follows: In favor: (6) Henke, Gormanous, Gibson, Moore, Harness, McNeely; Against: (0); Abstained: (0); Absent: (0)

NOON – 1:00 p.m. – LUNCH BREAK

2) Discussion/Policymaking

- a) Oral Examination Process – Dr. Henke provided an overview of the changes that the Board has been working on to improve the Oral Examination process. Explaining that revisions have been made to the Professional Reference Form, the Postdoctoral Supervision Documentation form and the Declaration of Competencies form in order that a Candidate's education, training and experience is supported by multiple documents. The board is reviewing and working on developing vignettes that are focused on ethical standards rather than case conceptualization, assessment and treatment methodology. Dr. Boggs was present for this discussion and provided input on her objectives as LSBEP's immediate past chairperson. Extensive discussion was had with current and former board members regarding Oral Examination validity and ways to develop a more standardized approach for assessing Candidates minimum requirements for licensure.

3) Discussion/Rulemaking – The Board discussed objectives for moving forward with rulemaking in the 2020-21 FY to address current and emerging issues in licensing and the profession including the following previously approved rulemaking initiatives not yet implemented:

- a. Emeritus Status - LAC:46:LXIII. Chapter 8 and 9
- b. Training, Credentials and Scope of Practice for Neuropsychology Specialty Designation and Provisional Licensure; other technical corrections - LAC 46:LXIII.105, 107, 307, 709, 1002, 1701 and 1702
- c. Continuing Education for LSSP's - LAC:46:LXIII. Chapter 40
- d. Ethical Standards for LSSP's - LAC:46:LXIII. Chapter 42

Board members expressed intent to move forward with the provisions for Emeritus Status and Ethical Standards for LSSP's. However, prior to adopting a motion to move forward with previously approved rulemaking for Neuropsychology and Continuing Education (CE) for LSSP, additional consideration is needed to assess whether specialty designations will be replaced with HSP or GAP designations, and regarding CE, consideration is needed to assess continuing with categorical requirements.

Dr. Henke gave an overview of new rulemaking initiatives and confirmed that small workgroups would convene in the morning from 8:30 a.m. until 10:30 a.m. to begin brainstorming criteria and/or developing regulations for each of the following:

- a) Telepsychology - LAC:46:LXIII. Chapter (NEW)
- b) Registration of unlicensed assistants – LAC:46:LXIII. Chapter 11

- c) Continuing education requirements – LAC:46:LXIII. Chapter 8
- d) Complaint adjudication process - LAC:46:LXIII. Chapter 15

4) Dr. Henke called for additional comments first from invited Board Members and Association Leadership then from other members of the public attending.

At 5:20 p.m., Dr. Moore moved to recess the board meeting until 10:30 a.m. Friday, January 29, 2021. The motion unanimously passed.

LRP Meeting Continued: FRIDAY, January 29, 2021

Board Chairperson, Dr. Amy Henke, called the video conference meeting to order at 10:30 a.m. on Friday, January 29, 2021.

Attendance:

Board Members Present: Dr. Amy Henke, Dr. Gregory Gormanous, Dr. Michelle Moore, Dr. Gina Gibson, Mr., Dr. Shannae Harness, and Darren “Chance” McNeely. Board Members Absent: 0
Staff Present: Executive Director, Jaime T. Monic; Compliance Officer, Justin Owens.

Invited Guests Present:

Dr. Joseph Comaty, Former Board Member and LAMP’s Liaison to LSBEP
Dr. David Thomason, LAMP President
Dr. Koren Boggs, Former Board Member
LPA Representative(s): Dr. Erin Reuther and Dr. Richard Niolon

Other Guests: Adrienne Brennan

Dr. Henke read the mission statement as follows, “It is hereby declared that the creation of a state board of examiners of psychologists is necessary in order to safeguard life, health, property, and the public welfare of this state, and in order to protect the people of this state against unauthorized, unqualified, and improper application of psychology.”

Dr. Gormanous requested that his statement of service be recorded into the minutes as follows, “My serving on LSBEP is to ensure that consumers of psychological services are protected against any unqualified, harmful and unauthorized practice, application or use of psychology. My service is as a consumer advocate and educator. My service is not as a market participant.”

Dr. Henke requested that the agenda be amended to allow for discussion of personnel matters in Executive Session related to Employee Evaluations and Complaint Matter P19-20-13P. Dr. Gormanous moved to approve the agenda as amended. The Board discussed the motion, the motion passed by roll call vote as follows: In favor: (6) Henke, Gormanous, Gibson, Moore, Harness, McNeely; Against: (0); Abstained: (0); Absent: (0)

REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF MINUTES: The Board reviewed, discussed and amended the draft Minutes of December 11, 2021. Dr. Gormanous moved to approve the minutes of December 11, 2021. The motion passed by roll call vote as follows: In favor: (6) Henke, Gormanous, Gibson, Moore, McNeely, Harness; Against: (0); Abstained: (0); Absent: (0).

IV. LRP FINAL DISCUSSION AND WRAP-UP

- a.) **Receive Committee Workgroup Reports -** Dr. Henke reported that board members and invited guests participated in small workgroups to begin brainstorming criteria and/or developing regulations for each telepsychology, registration of unlicensed assistants (or psychological technicians), continuing education requirements, and the complaint adjudication process. She invited each group to present notes and recommendations as follows:
- i) **Telepsychology - LAC:46:LXIII. Chapter (NEW)** – Group participants included: Dr. Moore, Dr. Harness, Dr. Gibson, Dr. Boggs, Dr. Reuther and Dr. Thomason. Dr. Moore summarized that the group used the current Telepsychology guidelines to work from and took into consideration current trends in providing telepsychology during Temporary Practice in order to make boundaries and requirements for licensure clear. The group focused on language specific to the location of the client and the location of the psychologist at the time of service.
 - ii) **Registration of unlicensed assistants – LAC:46:LXIII. Chapter 11** – Group participants included: Dr. Henke, Dr. Gibson, Dr. Gormanous, Dr. Boggs, Dr. Brennan and Dr. Reuther. Dr. Henke reported for this group indicating that the groups discussion revolved around consideration of a requirement for education level; using the term “psychological technician” rather than “assistant to psychologist”; ability to differentiate between a technician and APA’s standards for masters level licensure; consider supervision requirements; and, a mechanism for registration.
 - iii) **Continuing education requirements – LAC:46:LXIII. Chapter 8** – Group participants included: Dr. Moore, Mr. McNeely, Dr. Harness, Dr. Niolon, Dr. Holcomb, Dr. Comaty and Dr. Thomason. Mr. McNeely presented for this group stating that they had developed some discussion topics for consideration including:
 - (1) The ability for the board to enable a pre-approval process to accept requests for review on the front end for a processing fee.
 - (a) Ensure the processing fee does not conflict with the renewal fee.
 - (b) For Peer consultation groups – would this be an annual approval or one-time approval since groups change and would thus need to be reassessed.
 - (c) QAQC considerations: a) How do we ensure quality? b) What type of oversight will exist? c) What liabilities may exist here? d) We need to establish some sort of confirmation that is not overly burdensome on the board.
 - (2) To revise regulations to recognize quality over quantity (stricter requirements and a reduction in hours).
 - (3) To develop an application process for pre-approval of CPD workshops/conferences for Sponsors of CPD.
 - (a) Consideration for sponsors like LPA, APA that would be exempt from pre-approval.
 - (b) Establish a process, including fees. Consider a market analysis here including research on what other boards charge; how AMA handles this.
 - (c) Independent providers - not associated with associations or other organizations - need a process for pre-approval. There is not a process in place today.
 - (d) Develop an application for renewal of CPD workshop/conference for Sponsors
 - (4) Psychologist Application for Pre-approval of Individual Continuing Professional Development Activity. This would be an optional application process should the psychologist want a review and preapproval.
 - (5) Continuing Professional Development of Board Sponsored Activities/Fees/types of offerings.
 - (6) Historically, the board has conducted CEs around the state. Not in recent years. Purview should be limited to areas under the board’s purview: ethics, public education of board

operations - interactive workshops, case studies, etc., mock hearings as done nationally. Other areas include items related to licensing process, such as credential banking, telehealth best practices, psy-pact, etc. Fees appropriate for these items. Remarks from Dr. Comaty.

- (a) Fees and Registration Considerations: Board should not lose money on this effort.
 - (b) Avoid conflicts of interest
 - (c) Must be permissive
 - (d) Market analysis to determine pricing
 - (e) Fees may vary based on the topic, labor involved, market demand, etc.
 - (f) Do we need to establish rules? Seek attorney input - we think the answer is no.
 - (g) Fees/procedures could be established by board policy.
 - (h) Advertising: Board can simply email licensee.
 - (i) Video courses could be developed and maintained for use by licensees. Similar to how the state conducts its ethics courses. We could focus both on ethics and jurisprudence with a limitation on how frequently these courses could be utilized per licensee within a period of time.
 - (j) Survey participants to establish future topics and assess thoughts/feelings regarding the courses.
- (7) Consideration for requiring only formal CPD activities offered by approved sponsors.
- (a) It is not the intent of the Board to eliminate the informal training activities however allow them through an optional preapproval application. These include activities such as peer consultation, supervision, practice outcome monitoring, professional activities (like board or committee service).
 - (b) With a move toward more formal activities, the board would like to entertain a reduction in hours.
 - (c) Considerations for specific CPD requirements (e.g. ethics, multicultural, suicide prevention)

iv) **Complaint adjudication process - LAC:46:LXIII. Chapter 15** - Group participants included: Dr. Henke, Dr. Gormanous, Dr. Comaty and Dr. Nilon. Ms. Monic joined the group late. The group discussed the initiatives of a previous Ad Hoc committee to review and revise rules regarding the complaint adjudication process. Specifically with the intent to require an Board Member participate and have input in the early phase of the investigation; to provide for guidelines and structure for the complaints committee including a definition and option for non-reportable letters of education; and a formal impaired psychologist procedure.

- b) **LRP Recap** – Dr. Henke reported a successful Long Range Planning Meeting and restated the Board’s intent to move forward with housekeeping legislation voted on yesterday.
- c) **Affirm 2020-21 Action Items** – Dr. Henke thanked the individuals participating in the workgroups and confirmed that while the Telepsychology rules seem to be near completion the primary focus would be on development of regulations for registration of psychological technicians, continuing professional development and complaint adjudications.
- d) **Assignments to Action Items** – Dr. Henke affirmed the following Ad Hoc Committee assignments to continue Workgroups:
 - 1. Telepsychology: Drs. Moore, Harness and Gibson;
 - 2. Registration of unlicensed assistants/psychological technicians: Drs. Henke, Gibson and Gormanous;
 - 3. Continuing Professional Development: Mr. McNeely, Dr. Harness, and Dr. Moore were assigned to work on these regulations. Additionally, Dr. Henke offered to conduct market research and Dr. Moore offered to assist with

- event planning.
4. Complaint Adjudication: Dr. Gormanous, Dr. Comaty and Ms. Monic were assigned to work on developing these procedures and regulations.

V. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Board reviewed and discussed the Financial Statements for November 2020 and December 2020 prepared by Valerie Dominique. Dr. Gormanous moved to accept the Financial Statements for November 2020 and December 2020. The board discussed the motion. The motion passed by roll call vote as follows: In favor: (5) Harness, Henke, Gormanous, Gibson, Moore, McNeely; Against: (0); Abstained: (0); Absent: (0).

VI. CERTEMY: Updates and Merchant Proposals. Dr. Henke and Ms. Monic provided updates on proposals from Square, Payflow Pro and Stripe, reporting that following their last decision to move forward with Stripe, Square offered a new proposal. Following review, the Board determined that the proposal did not benefit the Board and therefore declined the proposal and affirmed their original decision to move forward with Stripe as the integrated payment processor for the Certemy platform.

NOON – 1:00 p.m. – LUNCH BREAK

EXECUTIVE SESSION: Dr. Moore moved to enter Executive Session pursuant to LSA R.S.42:17.A(2) and (4) to discuss and consider file reviews, personnel, jurisprudence examination development and complaint matters. The board discussed the motion. The motion passed by roll call vote as follows: In favor: (6) Harness, Henke, Gormanous, Gibson, Moore, McNeely; Against: (0); Abstained: (0); Absent: (0).

Dr. Gormanous moved to close Executive Session to enter the Open Meeting and report on Executive Session matters. The board discussed the motion. The motion passed by roll call vote as follows: In favor: (6) Harness, Henke, Gormanous, Gibson, Moore, McNeely; Against: (0); Abstained: (0); Absent: (0).

COMPLAINTS [LSA R.S. 42.17.A(4)]

1. **NP20-21-12B** - (*Complaint Committee Assigned: Dr. Michelle Moore, Mr. Justin Owens and Investigator Henry Richardson*) Dr. Moore presented this matter against a non-licensee to the Board in Executive Session with a recommendation to dismiss this matter without further action. After consideration of all information and discussion, finding insufficient evidence to proceed with further investigation or action, Dr. Gibson moved to accept recommendation to dismiss this matter without further action. The board discussed the motion. The motion passed by roll call vote as follows: In favor: (6) Harness, Henke, Gormanous, Gibson, Moore, McNeely; Against: (0); Abstained: (0); Absent: (0).
2. **P20-21-07B** - (*Complaint Committee Assigned: Dr. Sasha Lambert, Dr. Amy Henke, and Mr. Justin Owens*) - Dr. Henke presented this case to the Board in Executive Session, and reported that following thorough investigation, review and consideration of all evidence in this matter by the Complaint Investigation Committee assigned to this case, the Committee found insufficient evidence that a violation of the Psychology Practice Act had occurred. The Committee recommended that the matter be dismissed without further investigation or action. The Board thoroughly discussed this matter and considered the recommendation of the Committee. Dr. Moore moved to accept the Complaint Investigation Committee's recommendation. No additional motions were offered. The motion passed by roll call vote as follows: In favor:(6) Henke, Gormanous, Gibson, Moore, McNeely, Harness; Against: (0); Abstained: (0); Absent: (0)

3. **NP19-20-02C** - (*Complaint Committee Assigned: Dr. Sasha Lambert, Dr. Amy Henke, and Mr. Justin Owens*) – Mr. Owens provided a status to the Board on this investigation against a non-licensee, which matter was turned over to the District Attorney for investigation.
4. **P19-20-13P** - (*Complaint Committee Assigned: Dr. Sasha Lambert, Dr. Amy Henke, and Mr. Justin Owens*) - Mr. Owens provided a status to the Board on this investigation against a non-licensee.

SUPERVISION/CREDENTIALS COMMITTEE: APPLICANT/LICENSE FILE REVIEWS [LSA-R.S. 42.17.A(1)]

Dr. Henke reported file reviews and recommendations on the following:

Dr. Henke reviewed the Application for License of Shannon R. Bierma, Ph.D. Finding that all requirements for Provisional Licensure had been met, Dr. Henke recommended that the Board affirm the candidacy of Dr. Bierma and grant a Provisional License for early admission to the Examination for Professional Practice in Psychology (EPPP). Dr. Gormanous moved in favor of accepting the recommendation. The Board discussed the motion and recommendation. The motion passed by roll call vote as follows: In favor:(6) Henke, Gormanous, Gibson, Moore, McNeely, Harness; Against: (0); Abstained: (0); Absent: (0)

Dr. Henke reviewed the Application for License of Kasia S. Plessy, Ph.D. Dr. Henke recommended that the Board affirm the candidacy of Dr. Plessy for early admission to the Examination for Professional Practice in Psychology (EPPP). Dr. Gormanous moved in favor of accepting the recommendation. The Board discussed the motion and recommendation. The motion passed by roll call vote as follows: In favor:(6) Henke, Gormanous, Gibson, Moore, McNeely, Harness; Against: (0); Abstained: (0); Absent: (0)

Dr. Henke reviewed the Application files Natalie R. Smith, Ph.D., Erin C. Conway, Psy.D., Sarah P. Fletcher, Ph.D., Jason Todd Epker, Ph.D. (CPQ), Nanetta S. Payne, Ph.D., Rachel G. Foster, Psy.D. and John Meeter, Ph.D., with a recommendation to confirm their status as a Candidate for Licensure and invite these individuals to complete the Oral Examination requirements for licensure. Dr. Gormanous moved in favor of accepting the recommendation. The Board discussed the motion and recommendation. The motion passed by roll call vote as follows: In favor:(6) Henke, Gormanous, Gibson, Moore, McNeely, Harness; Against: (0); Abstained: (0); Absent: (0)

Dr. Henke reported that she and Ms. Monic reviewed the Applications for Temporary Registration for Kathleen F. Nyborg, Ph.D., Carli Alanna Braun Kody, Ph.D., Venette C. Westhoven, Ph.D, Melanie A. Fernandez, Ph.D., Ginger Calloway, Ph.D., Kylee M. Miller, Ph.D., Mark A. Zaroff, Ph.D., and Michael J. Herkov, Ph.D. Finding that these applications were complete, Dr. Henke recommended that a Temporary Registration be granted. Dr. Gormanous moved in favor of accepting the recommendation. The Board discussed the motion and recommendation. The motion passed by roll call vote as follows: In favor:(6) Henke, Gormanous, Gibson, Moore, McNeely, Harness; Against: (0); Abstained: (0); Absent: (0)

Dr. Henke recommended that an exception be considered for Alana D. Lopez, Ph.D. who was requesting that her 2020 application be applied for practice in 2021 since she did not utilize her registration in the 2020 calendar year. Dr. Gormanous moved in favor of accepting the recommendation. The Board discussed the motion and recommendation. The motion passed by roll call vote as follows: In favor:(6) Henke, Gormanous, Gibson, Moore, McNeely, Harness; Against: (0); Abstained: (0); Absent: (0)

Dr. Henke reviewed the Applications for Temporary Registration of Alena Binder, Psy.D., Elizabeth G. Corrin, Ph.D., Melissa L. Green, Ph.D. and Rachel Beth Levi, Ph.D.. Finding that the documentation required had not yet been received, recommended and moved that Ms. Monic be delegated authority to

approve these and future Applications for Temporary Registration once all required documentation had been validated. The Board discussed this motion and recommendation thoroughly. Following discussion, Dr. Moore seconded the motion to delegate authority to the Executive Director to review and approve Applications for Temporary Registration, with the understanding that any exceptions or problem applications that may result in denial to be brought before the Board for formal review. Additionally, the Executive Director should provide a summary report at each Board Meeting noting the applications approved each month. The motion passed by roll call vote as follows: In favor:(6) Henke, Gormanous, Gibson, Moore, McNeely, Harness; Against: (0); Abstained: (0); Absent: (0)

ORAL EXAMINATION RECOMMENDATIONS:

James A.R. Glynn, Psy.D. (CPQ) appeared for examination via Video Conference before an LSBEP Examining Committee consisting of Drs. Gormanous and Moore. The Board discussed the results of the examination in executive session. Finding that **Dr. Glynn** has met the qualifications for licensure, Dr. Moore moved that **Dr. Glynn** be granted a license to practice psychology with a declared specialty in Clinical Psychology. The motion passed by roll call vote as follows: In favor:(6) Henke, Gormanous, Gibson, Moore, McNeely, Harness; Against: (0); Abstained: (0); Absent: (0)

Kathrin Herzhoff, Ph.D. appeared for examination via Video Conference before an LSBEP Examining Committee consisting of Drs. Gormanous and Moore. The Board discussed the results of the examination in executive session. Finding that **Dr. Herzhoff** has met the qualifications for licensure, Dr. Moore moved that **Dr. Herzhoff** be granted a license to practice psychology with a declared specialty in Clinical Psychology. The motion passed by roll call vote as follows: In favor:(6) Henke, Gormanous, Gibson, Moore, McNeely, Harness; Against: (0); Abstained: (0); Absent: (0)

Matthew R. Chester, Ph.D. appeared for examination via Video Conference before an LSBEP Examining Committee consisting of Drs. Gormanous and Moore. The Board discussed the results of the examination in executive session. Finding that **Dr. Chester** has met the qualifications for licensure, Dr. Moore moved that **Dr. Chester** be granted a license to practice psychology with a declared specialty in Clinical Psychology. The motion passed by roll call vote as follows: In favor:(6) Henke, Gormanous, Gibson, Moore, McNeely, Harness; Against: (0); Abstained: (0); Absent: (0)

Emily K. Crochet, Psy.D. appeared for examination via Video Conference before an LSBEP Examining Committee consisting of Drs. Gormanous and Moore. The Board discussed the results of the examination in executive session. Finding that **Dr. Crochet** has met the qualifications for licensure, Dr. Moore moved that **Dr. Crochet** be granted a license to practice psychology with a declared specialty in Clinical Psychology. The motion passed by roll call vote as follows: In favor:(6) Henke, Gormanous, Gibson, Moore, McNeely, Harness; Against: (0); Abstained: (0); Absent: (0)

Emily Brickell, Psy.D. appeared for Oral Examination for Respecialization in Clinical Neuropsychology via Video Conference before an LSBEP Examining Committee consisting of Drs. Henke and Gibson. The Board discussed the results of the examination in executive session. Finding that **Dr. Brickell** has met the training, qualifications and examination requirements for Respecialization in Clinical Neuropsychology, Dr. Gibson moved that **Dr. Brickell's** file reflect competence in and document the specialty designation of Clinical Neuropsychology. The motion passed by roll call vote as follows: In favor:(6) Henke, Gormanous, Gibson, Moore, McNeely, Harness; Against: (0); Abstained: (0); Absent: (0)

JURISPRUDENCE EXAMINATION [LSA R.S. 42.17.A(4)]:

The Board reviewed discussed and provided feedback to Jurisprudence Examination Committee, consisting of Drs. Moore, Harness and Gibson, on the draft examination questions. Dr. Henke thanked the committee for their hard work and noted that the committee is very close to finalizing their revisions.

All business being completed, Dr. Henke called for adjournment at 5:00 p.m.